

RESEARCH Open Access

# Supplementary use of HbA1c as hyperglycemic criterion to detect metabolic syndrome

Parco M Siu\* and Oueenie S Yuen

# **Abstract**

**Background:** Metabolic syndrome (MetS) refers to a cluster of cardiovascular risk factors including hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, abdominal obesity and hypertension. An effective detection of MetS not only reflects the prediction risk of diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular diseases but also helps to plan for management strategy which could reduce the healthcare burden of the society. This study aimed to compare the use of hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) to fasting plasma glucose (FPG) as the hyperglycemic component in MetS diagnosis.

**Methods:** Waist circumference, blood pressure, blood triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, FPG, and HbA1c were examined in 120 Hong Kong Chinese adults with MetS and 120 without MetS. After reviewing the subject basal characteristics, 11 of them were found with undiagnosed diabetes (FPG ≧7.0 mmol/L) and were excluded for further analysis.

**Results:** The most prevalent MetS components among the included subjects were elevated systolic blood pressure and central obesity. Significant correlation relationships existed between FPG and HbA1c in both subject pools diagnosed with and without MetS (p < 0.001). The diagnostic rate of MetS using HbA1c was compared to FPG by the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis which suggested an area under curve of 0.807 (95% Cl: 0.727 to 0.887). The agreement was 90.7% in MetS-positive group with increased FPG as one of the criterion co-existed with elevated HbA1c. If including HbA1c as an additional criterion to FPG in the MetS diagnosis, 30 more participants in MetS-negative group would be MetS-positive leading to an increase in detection rate. Furthermore, 47 subjects (38 from MetS-positive group and 9 from MetS-negative group) were found having HbA1c ≥6.5%, who would have been diagnosed with diabetes based on the diagnostic criteria implemented by the Expert Group in 2009.

Conclusion: These findings suggest that HbA1c enhances the detection of hyperglycemia for the diagnosis of MetS.

#### **Background**

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) refers to a cluster of metabolic abnormalities including hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, abdominal obesity and high blood pressure, which is closely associated with the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular diseases. The incidence of diabetes and cardiovascular diseases has been reported to be considerably increased in individuals with MetS [1,2]. The risks of having heart disease, stroke, and diabetes have been shown to be increased by 1.5- to 3-fold in people with MetS when compared to people without MetS [3].

The occurrence of MetS is suggested to be affected by many factors including genetics, stress, improper diet, lack of physical activity, weight gain, increased alcohol consumption, smoking, and inadequate sleep [4-10]. The prevalence of MetS worldwide is approximately 20-30% in general population and it is apparently on an increasing trend. In the United States, the prevalence was 23.7% which was similar to Europe and the percentage appeared to be higher in population with advanced age [11]. In Asia, the prevalence of MetS reported in different countries were quite varied ranged from 21.9% in Thailand to 49.4% in Malaysia [11,12]. The prevalence of MetS in Korea has been shown to be significantly increased from 24.9% in 1998 to 31.3% in 2007 [12]. The prevalence of MetS in mainland China and Hong Kong has been reported to be 29.3% in middle-aged Chinese men and 26.8% in Hong Kong professional drivers [10,13]. A cohort study has

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: parco.siu@polyu.edu.hk Department of Health Technology and Informatics, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Hong Kong, China



demonstrated that the crude percentage of MetS had indeed been increased from 9.6% in 1990s to 23% in 2000s in Hong Kong [14]. These figures indisputably illustrated that MetS has been a global alarming public health concern [15].

Intervention applied to individual with MetS offers an early effective approach to prevent or delay the onset of the associated chronic diseases including diabetes and cardiovascular diseases. From a public health point of view, appropriate management of MetS through lifestyle modification such as dietary management and physical exercise has a significant healthcare value to combat with the growing prevalence of diabetes and cardiovascular diseases [1,5,8-10,16,17]. Successful reversal of the MetS conditions should have considerable impact to diminish the number of emerging cases of diabetes and cardiovascular diseases, which would largely lessen the future healthcare expenses associated with diabetes and cardiovascular diseases [18]. Thus, effective detection of MetS not only reflects the prediction risk of diabetes and cardiovascular diseases but also helps to plan for management strategy which could reduce the healthcare burden of the society. In this study, we aimed to examine the supplementary use of hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) as the hyperglycemic component for MetS diagnosis. HbA1c was examined because of its higher reproducibility and lower biological variation relative to fasting plasma glucose (FPG).

#### **Methods**

#### **Subjects**

In this case-control study, 240 Hong Kong Chinese adults (120 diagnosed with MetS and 120 without MetS) aged 30 to 80 years from the community were voluntarily recruited. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects. All the experimental procedures received human research ethics approval from The Hong Kong Polytechnic University.

# MetS parameters and blood biochemistry

Cardiovascular measurements including systolic and diastolic blood pressure were examined by an electronic blood pressure monitor (Accutorr Plus, Datascope). Blood pressure measurement was determined on the right arm after 5-min seated rest. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was obtained over the brachial artery region with the arm supported at heart level using appropriate sized cuff. The average of two measurements taken with a 1-min interval between them was recorded for analysis. Waist circumference was measured midway between the lowest rib and the superior border of the iliac crest using an inelastic measuring tape on the bare skin and recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm. The tape was snugged horizontally around the abdomen passing across the navel

without causing compression on the skin. Measurement was performed at the end of normal expiration. Biochemical measurements were performed on fasting plasma harvested from venous blood samples collected after an overnight (a minimum of 10 hours) fast. Plasma glucose, triglycerides, and HDL-cholesterol concentrations were measured by an accredited medical laboratory by commercial test kit methods using an automatic clinical chemistry analyzer (Architect CI8200, Abbott Diagnostics).

## **Definition of Metabolic Syndrome (MetS)**

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) was diagnosed according to the guideline of the United States National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel Adult Treatment Panel (ATP) III criteria, in which an individual diagnosed with MetS has three or more of following characteristics: 1) central obesity (waist circumference exceeds 90 cm or 80 cm for Asian male and female, respectively), 2) hypertension (systolic pressure equals or exceed 130 mmHg or diastolic pressure equals or exceeds 85 mmHg), 3) elevated blood glucose (fasting glucose level equals or exceeds 5.6 mmol/L [100 mg/dL]), 4) elevated plasma triglycerides (level equals or exceeds 1.70 mmol/L [150 mg/dL]), and 5) low level of highdensity lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C; level equals or is less than 40 mg/dL for male and 50 mg/dL for female) [19].

#### Measurement of hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c)

HbA1c was measured by ion exchange HPLC method using the VARIANT II Hemoglobin A1c Program manufactured by Bio-Rad Laboratories. The method is traceable to the reference methods of both the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP) and the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC). Also, it has been certified by the NGSP as having documented traceablility to the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) reference method (American Diabetes Association). The VARIANT II Hemoglobin A1c Program is based on the principles on ion exchange high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method by a programmed buffer gradient, of increasing ionic strength, to the cartridge where the hemoglobins are separated based on their specific ionic interactions with the cartridge material. The separated hemoglobin then passed through the flow cell of the filter photometer, where the changes in the absorbance at 415 nm were measured. An additional filter at 690 nm was used for background absorbance correction. A chromatogram was generated with the HbA1c peak shaded and the percentage of HbA1c was reported in SI percent units (HbA1c/total Hb). In order to convert the SI percent units to the IFCC SI units (mmol/mol) which was recommended in a consensus statement issued by the working group including the ADA, IDF, European Association for the Study of Diabetes and IFCC in 2007, the equation of IFCC: HbA1c(mmol/mol) = [HbA1c(%)-2.15] × 10.929 was adopted (American Diabetes Association). The analysis was performed in four consecutive days and each blood sample was analyzed twice and the mean results were obtained. The maximum within run percentage of coefficient of variation (%CV) using two lyophilized whole blood samples for non-diabetic range (4.7-5.9%) and diabetic range (8.7-11.1%) were 2.8% and 1.7%, respectively. The across run%CV using the same lyophilized whole blood samples were 3.6% and 3.8%, respectively.

## Data analysis

Statistical analyses were performed by using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS), version 17.0 for windows. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Independent t-test or Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare the difference between groups. The relationship between HbA1c and fasting plasma glucose (FPG) across groups was analyzed by Pearson correlation or Spearman correlation. P-value of <0.05 would be considered statistically significant. Chi-square by McNemar test was used to compare the use of HbA1c to FPG to detect MetS. The diagnostic property of using HbA1c and FPG for MetS was evaluated by receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve with the 95% confidence intervals.

#### **Results**

Among the 240 subjects examined, 120 were diagnosed with metabolic syndrome (MetS-positive) and 120 were

not having metabolic syndrome (MetS-negative) based on the NCEP diagnostic guideline. Of note, 11 MetS-positive subjects (9 male and 2 female) were found having fast plasma glucose (FPG) ≥7 mmol/L, which were classified as diabetic according to the consensus statement in 2009 [20] and were thus excluded in this study. In sum, data obtained from 109 MetS-positive subjects (51 male and 58 female) and 120 MetS-negative subjects (60 male and 60 female) were included in our analyses. The basal characteristics of these 229 subjects are shown in Table 1.

The prevalence of individual MetS components and HbA1c is summarized in Table 2. Among the 229 subjects, the most frequently met MetS criteria were systolic blood pressure (SBP) (n =161, 70.3%) and waist circumference (n = 125, 54.6%) (Table 2). The prevalence of hyperglycemia defined as elevated FPG (defined as FPG ≥5.6 mmol/L [100 mg/dL]) was found in 65 subjects (28.4%) whereas elevated HbA1c (defined as HbA1c ≥5.7%) was found in 194 subjects (84.7%) (Table 2). This observation demonstrated that a large portion of our examined subjects (i.e., 84.7% - 28.4% =56.3%) had normal level of FPG but elevated level of HbA1c. If using HbA1c as a hyperglycemic criterion in MetS definition, the number of subjects with different number of components present when using FPG criterion or HbA1c criterion was summarized in Table 3. The percentage of MetS-positive subjects would be increased from 47.6% (109 out of 229 subjects) by using FPG as the criterion to 60.7% (139 out of 229 subjects) by using HbA1c as the criterion.

As shown in the cross-tabulation table between FPG and HbA1c (Table 4), only 55.7% of subjects (59/109) had FPG ≥5.6 mmol/L as one of the criterion in MetSpositive group. However, 90.8% of subjects (99/109) had

Table 1 Basal characteristics of the subjects

	All n = 229	MetS-positive n = 109	MetS-negative n = 120	P-value
Age (years)	58.7 ± 10.5	59.1 ± 10.6	58.3 ± 10.4	0.556
WC (cm)	$85.9 \pm 10.6$	$92.8 \pm 8.2$	$79.6 \pm 8.3$	< 0.001
SBP (mmHg)	$139.5 \pm 20.3$	145.3 ± 17.7	134.1 ± 21.1	< 0.001
DBP (mmHg)	79.4 ± 11.1	82.5 ± 10.9	$76.7 \pm 10.6$	< 0.001
FPG (mmol/L)	$5.3 \pm 0.6$	$5.6 \pm 0.6$	$5.0 \pm 0.4$	< 0.001
TG (mmol/L)	$1.5 \pm 0.7$	$1.9 \pm 0.7$	$1.2 \pm 0.5$	< 0.001
HDL (mmol/L)	$1.4 \pm 0.3$	$1.2 \pm 0.3$	$1.6 \pm 0.3$	< 0.001
HbA1c (%)	$6.1 \pm 0.5$	$6.3 \pm 0.6$	$5.9 \pm 0.4$	< 0.001
Correlation coefficient between HbA1c and FPG	0.593, P < 0.001	0.569, P < 0.001	0.397, P < 0.001	

Note: SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; WC, waist circumference; HDL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, haemoglobin A1c; data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Metabolic syndrome (MetS) was diagnosed according to the guideline of the United States National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel Adult Treatment Panel (ATP) III criteria, in which an individual diagnosed with MetS has three or more of following characteristics: 1) central obesity (waist circumference exceeds 90 cm or 80 cm for Asian male and female, respectively), 2) hypertension (systolic pressure equals or exceed 130 mmHg or diastolic pressure equals or exceeds 85 mmHg), 3) elevated blood glucose (fasting glucose level equals or exceeds 5.6 mmol/L [100 mg/dL]), 4) elevated plasma triglycerides (level equals or exceeds 1.70 mmol/L [150 mg/dL]), and 5) low level of high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C; level equals or is less than 40 mg/dL for male and 50 mg/dL for female) [19].

Table 2 Prevalence of individual MetS components and HbA1c

	All	MetS-positive	MetS-negative
Number (%)	229 (100%)	109 (100%)	120 (100%)
WC	125 (54.6%)	101 (92.7%)	24 (20.0%)
SBP	161 (70.3%)	94 (86.2%)	67 (55.8%)
DBP	112 (48.9%)	67 (61.5%)	45 (37.5%)
FPG	65 (28.4%)	59 (54.1%)	6 (5.0%)
TG	90 (39.3%)	68 (62.4%)	22 (18.3%)
HDL	70 (30.6%)	61 (56.0%)	9 (7.5%)
HbA1c	194 (84.7%)	99 (90.8%)	95 (79.2%)

Note: SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; WC, waist circumference; HDL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, haemoglobin A1c. Data are expressed as frequency count (%). Metabolic syndrome (MetS) was diagnosed according to the guideline of the United States National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel Adult Treatment Panel (ATP) III criteria, in which an individual diagnosed with MetS has three or more of following characteristics: 1) WC exceeds 90 cm or 80 cm for Asian male and female, respectively, 2) SBP equals or exceed 130 mmHg or DBP equals or exceeds 85 mmHg, 3) FPG equals or exceeds 5.6 mmol/L [100 mg/dL], 4) TG equals or exceeds 1.70 mmol/L [150 mg/dL]), and 5) HDL-C equals or is less than 40 mg/dL for male and 50 mg/dL for female) [19]. Elevated HbA1c is defined as HbA1c 25.7%.

HbA1c  $\geq$ 5.7%, 91.7% of subjects (100/109) had either increased FPG or HbA1c, and 53.2% of subjects (58/109) had both increased FPG and HbA1c. In MetS-negative group, only 5% of subjects (6/120) had FPG ≥5.6 mmol/L but 79.2% of subjects (95/120) had HbA1c ≥5.7%, 80% of subjects (96/120) had either increased FPG or HbA1c, and only 4.2% of subjects (5/120) had both increased FPG and HbA1c. The use of HbA1c as a criterion for MetS detection was analyzed by McNemar test in which the Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) in MetS-positive group was 38.1 with significance at  $\alpha = 0.05$  and the phi coefficient ( $\phi$ ) was 0.591 (p < 0.001), representing a moderate relationship. A stronger relationship was observed in MetS-negative group, in which the Chi-square  $(\chi^2)$  was 87.0 and the phi coefficient ( $\phi$ ) was 0.851 (p < 0.001). These results demonstrated that the proportion of subjects to be detected with MetS was increased by using HbA1c criterion when compared to FPG criterion.

Our intra-class correlation analysis indicated that the Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) between FPG and HbA1c was moderately high in MetS-positive group,  $\alpha$  =0.725 (95% CI: 0.599 to 0.812, p < 0.001) and in MetS-negative group,  $\alpha$  =0.576 (95% CI: 0.391 to 0.704, p < 0.001). The percentage

Table 4 Cross-tabulation contingency table showing FPG and HbA1c in MetS-positive and MetS-negative groups

			Fasting plas		
			Yes	No	Total
MetS-positive group	HbA1c	Yes	58	41	99
		No	1	9	10
		Total	59	50	109
MetS-negative group	HbA1c	Yes	5	90	95
		No	1	24	25
		Total	6	114	120

Yes: Fasting plasma glucose or HbA1c above the cutoff and met with MetS criterion.

No: Fasting plasma glucose or HbA1c below the cutoff for MetS criterion.

of agreement was 90.7% with the kappa coefficient,  $\kappa$  =0.616 between FPG and HbA1c in those 65 subjects with FPG  $\geq$ 5.6 mmol/L. However, the percentage of agreement between FPG and HbA1c in MetS-positive group (n =109) was only 61.5% ( $\kappa$  =0.079). This result might be due to the large discrepancy between the prevalence of corresponding criterion in the group (i.e., 59 out of 109 MetS-positive subjects met FPG criterion but 99 of them met the HbA1c criterion).

The diagnostic property of HbA1c at the level of ≥5.7% for MetS diagnosis was evaluated by receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis. The area under ROC curve of HbA1c for detecting subjects with MetS relative to FPG was 0.807 (95% CI: 0.727 to 0.887), which yielded a sensitivity level of 98.3%, specificity of 18%, positive predictive value (PPV) of 58.6%, and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 90.0%. When HbA1c cutoff was used to test the presence of MetS, it yielded a sensitivity of 90.8%, specificity of 20.8%, PPV of 51.0%, and NPV of 71.4%. Similar diagnostic properties as indicated by the areas under ROC curve were obtained by using FPG and HbA1c in MetS-positive (0.778 for FPG and 0.736 for HbA1c).

According to the diagnostic criteria of the consensus statement released by the working group report of International Expert Committee in 2009 [20], individuals who have FPG less than 5.6 mmol/L or HbA1c less than 5.7% are defined to be normal. FPG between 5.6 to 6.9 mmol/L or HbA1c between 5.7-6.4% are at pre-diabetic state and with FPG above 7.0 mmol/L or HbA1c  $\geq$ 6.5% are

Table 3 Number of subjects with different number of components present when using fasting plasma glucose (FPG) or HbA1c as the hyperglycemic criterion

Number of MetS component present		0	1	2	3	4	5	Met ≧3 components (MetS-positive)
FPG as criterion	n	32	45	43	56	43	10	109
	(%)	(14.0)	(19.7)	(18.8)	(24.5)	(18.8)	(4.4)	
HbA1c as criterion	n	10	40	40	59	59	21	139
	(%)	(4.4)	(17.5)	(17.5)	(25.8)	(25.8)	(9.2)	

diagnosed with diabetes [20]. At the beginning of the study, 11 diabetic subjects with FPG  $\geq$ 7.0 mmol/L were excluded. By considering the level of HbA1c, 47 subjects would have been diagnosed with diabetes (38 from MetS-positive group and 9 from MetS-negative group) which were mid-diagnosed based on the measurement of FPG.

#### **Discussion**

In the present study, the prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MetS) was observed to be increased by 13% after adopting hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) as the hyperglycemic criterion in the diagnosis of MetS when compared to the use of fasting plasma glucose (FPG) with a good agreement (90.7%,  $\kappa = 0.62$ ). These results are in agreement with previous studies reported the increased prevalence of MetS when adopting HbA1c instead of FPG in MetS diagnosis [21,22]. Nonetheless, it is worth to note that there were also controversial results demonstrated that the use of HbA1c might result in a decreased prevalence of MetS. The prevalence of MetS was reported to be decreased by 4-7% in the study that examined the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data and a Korean cross-sectional study [23,24]. According to our present data, thirty more participants would be diagnosed with MetS after including HbA1c as a supplementary hyperglycemic criterion. Of note, these thirty participants included 10 participants aged below or equal to sixty years and 20 participants aged above sixty years. If categorized by gender, there were 19 men and 11 women among these thirty participants. Our coarse observations suggested that the adoption of HbA1c hyperglycemic criterion seemed to be more responsive in the male participants of age above sixty. Nevertheless, the observed discrepancy between our present findings and previous studies might be attributed to the differences in study design (i.e., case control study vs. randomized study), age and gender distribution of the subjects, sample size, ethnicity, and pre-analytical or analytical factors which might have affected the measurements of HbA1c and FPG.

Among the Hong Kong Chinese participants in this study, it was observed that the most prevalent MetS components observed were high blood pressure and central obesity, which were similar to the previous observations reported by studies conducted in Italy and Korea [22,23]. The incidence of hypertension appeared to be higher in the participants aged above 60 particularly those male. It was not surprising that blood pressure was elevated with advanced age due to the fact that arterial stiffness is increased and insulin resistance is common in elderly which generally impair the vaso-dilating function of blood vessels. Besides, Kim and colleagues have demonstrated that the brachial-ankle pulse

wave velocity (baPWV), a measurement of arterial stiffness, in male was markedly higher than that in female, which might help to explain the currently observed higher incidence of high blood pressure in men compared to female [23]. In regard to the prevalence of central obesity as measured by waist circumference, it was observed to be more commonly seen in our female participants. It has been proposed that neuro-hormonal dysregulation characterized by the activation of stressrelated hormones and age-related decline in sex hormone and growth hormone especially after menopause might lead to increase in deposition of visceral adiposity causing insulin resistance and thus enhances the development of MetS [25]. Indeed, ample amount of evidence have illustrated that the risk factors of the development of MetS were inter-correlated and insulin resistance plays an important role in the pathophysiologic process of the development of MetS. Therefore, once insulin resistance occurs, accumulation of fatty acids might follow which results in the consequence of accelerating other risk factors including hypertriglyceridemia, reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and hyperglycemia [26].

In the present study, the correlations observed between HbA1c and FPG in all participants and MetS-positive group were moderate, which are consistent with the previous reports [27]. The observed moderate degree of correlation relationship between HbA1c and FPG might be attributed to the differences in the amount of glycosylation that is known to be varied from individual-to-individual [28]. Other possible explanations included change in intra-erythrocyte environment, difference in degree of glycemic control, heterogeneity in the lifespan of red cell, and racial difference [28-30]. Besides, rather high variability of fasting plasma glucose might also result in moderate correlations observed between HbA1c and fasting plasma glucose [31].

Regarding the diagnostic property comparison of HbA1c to fasting plasma glucose in the detection of MetS, the area under the ROC curve in the present study was 0.807 (95% CI: 0.727 to 0.887) which was found to be similar to previous reports that demonstrated moderate-to-high property by adopting HbA1c hyperglycemic criterion, in which the reported area under ROC curve was 0.678 in Succurro et al. study and 0.895 in van't Riet et al. study [22,27]. Based on our results, the areas under the ROC curves by using fasting plasma glucose and HbA1c in MetS diagnosis were 0.778 and 0.736, respectively, suggesting that similar diagnostic values were obtained from fasting plasma glucose and HbA1c for MetS detection. Nonetheless, although HbA1c seemed not offering a higher diagnostic value when compared to fasting plasma glucose, we interpreted that the measurement of HbA1c

indeed provided additional information to enhance the detection of hyperglycemic episode in MetS detection. According to the consensus statement of the American Diabetes Association in 2010 [32], an individual having HbA1c at range of 5.7% to 6.4% would be classified as pre-diabetic whereas HbA1c greater than 6.4% would be diagnosed with diabetes mellitus. Of note, 11 participants in MetS-positive group were found to have fasting plasma glucose greater than 7.0 mmol/L at the beginning of this study and these subjects were excluded for data analyses. Thus theoretically, there should have no known diabetic cases in the subject pool being examined in the present study (in which the diagnosis of diabetes is based on fasting plasma glucose). However, we observed that 47 of our included 229 participants (21%) were found having HbA1c level greater than 6.4%, who would have been diagnosed with diabetes mellitus according to the criteria of the American Diabetes Association [32]. These hidden diabetic individuals, whose fasting plasma glucose was normal but HbA1c was elevated, would not be revealed if blood glucose was solely used as the hyperglycemic criterion in the detection process.

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) does not refer to the diagnosis of a disease status but is rather taken as a "sign" to alert individuals who have metabolic abnormalities which are closely associated with the development of diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular diseases [26]. Most importantly, the risk factors and diagnostic components of MetS are readily to be improved and reversed by lifestyle modification (i.e., diet and exercise) in order to delay or prevent the onset of diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular diseases. According to the findings of the present study, HbA1c, which is the glycated form of hemoglobin that reflects the average glucose content in the blood in previous two to three months, together with fasting plasma glucose appeared to be an appropriate hyperglycemic criterion in identifying MetS with an improved detection and in revealing hidden diabetic cases that failed to be detected by solely fasting plasma glucose. Notably, the present study might have some limitations. First, the samples were not randomly obtained from the entire population but from a volunteer-based manner with our adopted case-control study design, which might have selection bias and reduction of generalizability. Besides, similar to the crosssectional epidemiologic studies, the present study did not have further continuous evaluations in tracking the development of diseases such as diabetes and cardiovascular diseases. In conclusion, the results of this study support the feasibility of the use of hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) together with fasting plasma glucose (FPG) as the hyperglycemic criteria in the detection of MetS. Although similar diagnostic properties were observed by using HbA1c and fasting plasma glucose in the diagnosis of MetS, the measurement of HbA1c provides additional value in revealing the hidden diabetic cases which were not detected with the sole examination of fasting plasma glucose.

#### Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contribution

PMS designed the study, interpreted data, contributed to the discussion, wrote the manuscript, and reviewed/edited the manuscript. QSY designed the study, acquired and interpreted data, contributed to the discussion, wrote the manuscript, and reviewed/edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Hong Kong Jockey Club Charities Trust and The Hong Kong Polytechnic University. The authors declared that there were no potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Received: 11 September 2014 Accepted: 27 October 2014 Published: 6 November 2014

#### References

- Blaha MJ, Bansal S, Rouf R, Golden SH, Blumenthal RS, Defilippis AP: A practical "ABCDE" approach to the metabolic syndrome. Mayo Clin Proc 2008. 83:932–941.
- Sung KC, Rhee EJ: Glycated haemoglobin as a predictor for metabolic syndrome in non-diabetic Korean adults. Diabet Med 2007, 24:848–854.
- Sattar N, McConnachie A, Shaper AG, Blauw GJ, Buckley BM, de Craen AJ, Ford I, Forouhi NG, Freeman DJ, Jukema JW, Lennon L, Macfarlane PW, Murphy MB, Packard CJ, Stott DJ, Westendorp RG, Whincup PH, Shepherd J, Wannamethee SG: Can metabolic syndrome usefully predict cardiovascular disease and diabetes? Outcome data from two prospective studies. Lancet 2008. 371:1927–1935.
- Jin L, Huang Y, Bi Y, Zhao L, Xu M, Xu Y, Chen Y, Gu L, Dai M, Wu Y, Hou J, Li X, Ning G: Association between alcohol consumption and metabolic syndrome in 19,215 middle-aged and elderly Chinese. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2011, 92:386–392.
- Kobayashi D, Takahashi O, Deshpande GA, Shimbo T, Fukui T: Relation between metabolic syndrome and sleep duration in Japan: a large scale cross-sectional study. *Intern Med* 2011, 50:103–107.
- Lin YC, Chen JD, Chen PC: Excessive 5-year weight gain predicts metabolic syndrome development in healthy middle-aged adults. World J Diabetes 2011, 2:8–15.
- Lin YC, Hsiao TJ, Chen PC: Shift work aggravates metabolic syndrome development among early-middle-aged males with elevated ALT. World J Gastroenterol 2009, 15:5654–5661.
- Moreira C, Santos R, de Farias Junior JC, Vale S, Santos PC, Soares-Miranda L, Marques Al, Mota J: Metabolic risk factors, physical activity and physical fitness in Azorean adolescents: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2011, 11:214.
- Sakane N: Pharmacology in health foods:merits and demerits of food with health claims for the prevention of metabolic syndrome. J Pharmacol Sci 2011. 115:476–480.
- Villegas R, Xiang YB, Yang G, Cai Q, Fazio S, Linton MF, Elasy T, Xu WH, Li H, Cai H, Gao YT, Zheng W, Shu XO: Prevalence and determinants of metabolic syndrome according to three definitions in middle-aged Chinese men. Metab Syndr Relat Disord 2009, 7:37–45.
- Ford ES, Giles WH, Dietz WH: Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among US adults: findings from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. JAMA 2002, 287:356–359.
- Lim S, Shin H, Song JH, Kwak SH, Kang SM, Won Yoon J, Choi SH, Cho SI, Park KS, Lee HK, Jang HC, Koh KK: Increasing prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Korea: the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey for 1998–2007. Diabetes Care 2011, 34:1323–1328.
- Siu SC, Wong KW, Lee KF, Lo YY, Wong CK, Chan AK, Fong DY, Lam CL: Prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular risk factors in Hong Kong professional drivers. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2012, 96:60–67.

- Ko GT, Tang JS: Metabolic syndrome in the Hong Kong community: the United Christian Nethersole Community Health Service primary healthcare programme 2001–2002. Singap Med J 2007, 48:1111–1116.
- 15. Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA, Fruchart JC, James WP, Loria CM, Smith SC Jr, International Diabetes Federation Task Force on E, Prevention, Hational Heart L, Blood I, American Heart A, World Heart F, International Atherosclerosis S, International Association for the Study of O: Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity. Circulation 2009, 120:1640–1645.
- Dagogo-Jack S, Egbuonu N, Edeoga C: Principles and practice of nonpharmacological interventions to reduce cardiometabolic risk. Med Princ Pract 2010, 19:167–175.
- Twigg SM, Kamp MC, Davis TM, Neylon EK, Flack JR, Australian Diabetes S, Australian Diabetes Educators A: Prediabetes: a position statement from the Australian Diabetes Society and Australian Diabetes Educators Association. Med J Aust 2007, 186:461–465.
- Simmons RK, Alberti KG, Gale EA, Colagiuri S, Tuomilehto J, Qiao Q, Ramachandran A, Tajima N, Brajkovich Mirchov I, Ben-Nakhi A, Reaven G, Hama Sambo B, Mendis S, Roglic G: The metabolic syndrome: useful concept or clinical tool? Report of a WHO Expert Consultation. Diabetologia 2010, 53:600–605.
- Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, Gordon DJ, Krauss RM, Savage PJ, Smith SC Jr, Spertus JA, Costa F, American Heart A, National Heart L, Blood I: Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. Circulation 2005, 112:2735–2752.
- International Expert C: International Expert Committee report on the role of the A1C assay in the diagnosis of diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2009, 32:1327–1334.
- 21. Bernal-Lopez MR, Villalobos-Sanchez A, Mancera-Romero J, Jansen-Chaparro S, Baca-Osorio AJ, Lopez-Carmona MD, Tinahones FJ, Gomez-Huelgas R: Why not use the HbA1c as a criterion of dysglycemia in the new definition of the metabolic syndrome? Impact of the new criteria in the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in a Mediterranean urban population from Southern Europe (IMAP study. Multidisciplinary intervention in primary care). Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2011, 93:e57–e60.
- Succurro E, Marini MA, Arturi F, Grembiale A, Fiorentino TV, Andreozzi F, Sciacqua A, Lauro R, Hribal ML, Perticone F, Sesti G: Usefulness of hemoglobin A1c as a criterion to define the metabolic syndrome in a cohort of italian nondiabetic white subjects. Am J Cardiol 2011, 107:1650–1655.
- 23. Kim HK, Kim CH, Kim EH, Bae SJ, Park JY: Usefulness of hemoglobin A1c as a criterion of dysglycemia in the definition of metabolic syndrome in Koreans. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2012, **95**:333–339.
- Ong KL, Tso AW, Lam KS, Cherny SS, Sham PC, Cheung BM: Using glycosylated hemoglobin to define the metabolic syndrome in United States adults. Diabetes Care 2010, 33:1856–1858.
- Chan NN, Kong AP, Chan JC: Metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes: the Hong Kong perspective. Clin Biochem Rev 2005, 26:51–57.
- Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ: The metabolic syndrome. Lancet 2005, 365:1415–1428.
- van 't Riet E, Alssema M, Rijkelijkhuizen JM, Kostense PJ, Nijpels G, Dekker JM: Relationship between A1C and glucose levels in the general Dutch population: the new Hoorn study. *Diabetes Care* 2010, 33:61–66.
- Cohen RM, Franco RS, Khera PK, Smith EP, Lindsell CJ, Ciraolo PJ, Palascak MB, Joiner CH: Red cell life span heterogeneity in hematologically normal people is sufficient to alter HbA1c. Blood 2008, 112:4284–4291.
- Khera PK, Joiner CH, Carruthers A, Lindsell CJ, Smith EP, Franco RS, Holmes YR, Cohen RM: Evidence for interindividual heterogeneity in the glucose gradient across the human red blood cell membrane and its relationship to hemoglobin glycation. *Diabetes* 2008. 57:2445–2452.

- Kilpatrick ES, Rigby AS, Atkin SL: Variability in the relationship between mean plasma glucose and HbA1c: implications for the assessment of glycemic control. Clin Chem 2007, 53:897–901.
- Selvin E, Crainiceanu CM, Brancati FL, Coresh J: Short-term variability in measures of glycemia and implications for the classification of diabetes. Arch Intern Med 2007, 167:1545–1551.
- American Diabetes Association: Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2010, 33(Suppl 1):S62–S69.

#### doi:10.1186/1758-5996-6-119

Cite this article as: Siu and Yuen: Supplementary use of HbA1c as hyperglycemic criterion to detect metabolic syndrome. Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome 2014 6:119.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

