RESEARCH

Open Access

Association between inpatient glycemic variability and COVID-19 mortality: a prospective study

Salma Ali El Chab Parolin^{1*}, Rebecca Benicio Stocco¹, Julia do Carmo Kneipp Lopes¹, Marcos Roberto Curcio Pereira¹, Milena Massae Yamashita¹, Maria Eduarda Domareski Goulart¹, Henrique Demeneck¹, Marcia Olandoski¹, Larissa Hermann de Souza Nunes¹, Victor Keniche Morisawa¹, Luiz Augusto Fanhani Cracco¹, Isabela Busto Silva¹, Jarbas Silva Motta Júnior¹, Daniela Veit Barreto¹, Gustavo Lenci Marques¹, Thyago Proença de Moraes¹ and Cristina Pellegrino Baena¹

Abstract

Background This study aimed to determine the association between glycemic variability (GV) and mortality in hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).

Methods We prospectively analyzed data from inpatients (> 18 years old) with RT-PCR confirmed COVID-19 admitted between March 2020 and July 2021. All patients were hospitalized for more than 48 h and had at least six point-of-care capillary glucose tests obtained three times daily in the pre-prandial period during hospitalization. GV was measured using the glucose standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (CV). ROC curve was adjusted to determine the SD and CV cutoff values associated with mortality (44.7 mg/dL and 27.5%, respectively); values above these were considered indicative of high GV. Logistic regression models were fitted to explore the association between GV and mortality in patients with and without diabetes.

Results A total of 628 patients were stratified into SD < 44.7 mg/dL (n = 357) versus \geq 44.7 mg/dL (n = 271) and CV < 27.5% (n = 318) versus \geq 27.5% (n = 310) groups. After controlling for age, sex, presence of diabetes mellitus (DM) and cardiovascular disease, we found a significant association between high GV and mortality (odds ratio 2.99 [1.88–4.77] for SD and 2.43 [1.54–3.85] for CV; p values < 0.001). The mortality rate was higher with SD \geq 44.7 mg/dL and CV \leq 27.5% compared to that with SD < 44.7 mg/dL and CV < 27.5%, regardless of DM (p < 0.001 for all).

Conclusion High glycemic variability was independently associated with mortality in patients with and without DM, who were hospitalized with COVID-19.

Keywords COVID-19, Glycemic variability, Mortality, inpatient

Introduction

Glucose variability (GV) is common among hospitalized patients, and the benefits of glycemic control have been demonstrated in acute infections regardless of the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus (DM). However, the role of GV in hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is not clear, and its prognostic implications are not understood.

*Correspondence: Salma Ali El Chab Parolin salmacp@yahoo.com.br ¹ Pontifical Catholic University of Paraná (PUCPR), Rua Imaculada Conceição, 1155, Curitiba, Paraná 80215-901, Brazil



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Since December 2019, COVID-19 caused by a novel coronavirus, the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1, 2], has been associated with substantial mortality. By January 2023, the number of deaths due to COVID-19 had exceeded 6.7 million worldwide. Hyperglycemia and DM are responsible for an increased risk of hospital admission, acute kidney injury, need for mechanical ventilation, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and mortality in patients with COVID-19 [3]; however, the mechanisms underlying the increased risk associated with hyperglycemia and DM remain unclear [4].

Findings from retrospective studies have shown that high glycemic variability is associated with a longer length of hospital stay and increased mortality in patients hospitalized with or without acute infections, independent of the presence of DM [5, 6]. High glycemic variability is thought to boost oxidative stress, causing a poor T cell response and leading to severe COVID-19 manifestations. Indeed, Monnier et al. demonstrated in a case– control study that glucose fluctuations trigger oxidative stress, which is not observed in chronic sustained hyperglycemia [7].

Glycemic variability is a complex process that reflects blood glucose fluctuations occurring over a day or over a period of time, including periods of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia. High glycemic variability is considered indicative of poor glycemic control [8]. Several metrics can be used to evaluate glycemic variability, and glucose standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (CV) are considered simple and accurate methods for this purpose [9].

Based on these considerations, this study aimed to test the association between glycemic variability and mortality in patients with and without DM, hospitalized with confirmed COVID-19, to provide insights for care improvement in this population. We hypothesized a higher VG could be a risk factor for mortality and other poor outcomes during hospitalization in patients with COVID-19.

Methods

Study design and participants

This study using real-world data was conducted between March 2020 and July 2021 at a tertiary referral center (Hospital Marcelino Champagnat) in Brazil.

All patients were included sequentially, were older than 18 years, had COVID-19 confirmed using reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) testing, were hospitalized for more than 48 h, and had at least six point-of-care capillary glucose tests (POCTs) obtained three times daily in the pre-prandial period during hospitalization (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1 Flowchart of the participants' selection process. COVID-19: coronavirus disease-2019

We used each patient's POCT results during the hospital stay to calculate individual SD and CV values. For patients hospitalized for > 10 days, we considered only the POCT results from the first 10 days to evaluate the interference of early glycemic variability in the outcome mortality.

We analyzed the cohort according to (1) SD values, as <44.7 mg/dL versus \geq 44.7 mg/dL, (2) CV values, as <27.5% versus \geq 27.5%, and (3) previous diagnosis of DM, as present versus absent. In the latter, we reanalyzed all parameters according to the presence or absence of DM to identify whether DM could have influenced mortality.

Data collection

Data regarding patients' demographic characteristics (sex and age), comorbidities (hypertension; DM; cerebrovascular disease; chronic renal failure; obesity, defined as a body mass index \geq 30 kg/m²; malignancy; dyslipidemia; cardiovascular disease [CVD]; and chronic respiratory diseases), use of corticosteroids before hospitalization, and medications used at home were collected in real time using a Philips Tasy electronic medical record (Philips Healthcare, Cambridge, MA, USA). A prior diagnosis of DM was considered to be present when reported by the patient or when the use of an oral hypoglycemic agent was reported on admission.

Data collection was monitored in real time by the authors. Laboratory data (results from COVID-19 RT-PCR tests, white blood cell count [cells/ μ L], lymphocytes

[cells/ μ L], platelets [cells/ μ L], C-reactive protein [CRP; mg/L], creatinine [mg/dL], and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio [N/L]) were obtained at admission and during hospitalization.

Glucose values were based on bedside POCT measurements of capillary blood obtained three times daily in the pre-prandial period, according to the hospital's protocol. The glucometer used was an Abbott FreeStyle Optium Neo (Abbott Diabetes Care Ltd., Witney, UK). Hypoglycemia was defined as capillary glucose concentration < 70 mg/dL (< 3.9 mmol/L).

The study protocol was approved by the hospital's research ethics committee (Center for Teaching, Research, and Innovation [CEPI]) on March 20, 2020, and was registered at Plataforma Brasil (CAAE 30188020.7.1001.0020). All patients or their legally authorized representatives signed consent forms before the start of the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Outcome

The primary study endpoint was the association of glycemic variability and mortality (assessed between hospital admission and discharge) among the patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Death data were obtained from the medical records.

Sliding scale insulin therapy

The institutional protocol was followed for the adjustment of glucose levels to above 140 mg/dL using rapid-acting insulin. For critically ill patients using corticosteroids, the protocol recommends starting with 6 units of rapid-acting insulin and adding 2 units for each 40 mg/dL above the target glucose level. For noncritical patients, the protocol started with 4 units of rapid-acting insulin and 2 units increase for each 40 mg/dL above the target glucose level.

Statistical analyses

Categorical variables were presented as numbers (%, maximum and minimum values). Continuous variables with a normal distribution were presented as mean (standard deviation [SD]), while those without a normal distribution were presented as medians (interquartile range [IQR]). The chi-square test was used to compare high versus low glycemic variability in patients with and without DM. Continuous variables were compared using the Mann–Whitney or Student's *t* test.

The association between mortality and age, sex, comorbidities, and use versus no use of corticosteroids during hospitalization was estimated using univariate and multivariate regression analyses, and the results were presented as odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to identify the cutoff values for SD and CV associated with mortality. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS, version 28.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

In total, 1704 patients were hospitalized with COVID-19 between March 2020 and July 2021. We excluded patients who remained in the emergency department or were admitted to the hospital for less than 48 h, those with fewer than six POCT measurements during hospitalization (N=371), and those without RT-PCR confirmation of COVID-19 (n=546) and admission laboratory tests (n=159). The final sample included 628 patients admitted to the hospital for more than 48 h, with at least six glucose POCTs and confirmed COVID-19 (Fig. 1).

The mean age of the included patients was 59.6 ± 15.9 years, and 65.8% were men. The most prevalent comorbidities were hypertension and DM (Table 1).

The ROC curve fitted to estimate the SD and CV cutoff values associated with mortality identified the values of 44.7 mg/dL for SD and 27.5% for CV. These cutoff values for SD and CV were calculated for this study population, specifically. The patients were subsequently stratified into groups for each study metric defining low vs. high glycemic variability, i.e., SD < 44.7 mg/dL (n=357) vs. \geq 44.7 mg/dL (n=271), respectively, and CV < 27.5% (n=318) vs. \geq 27.5% (n=310), respectively (Table 2). Values \geq 44.7 mg/dL for SD and \geq 27.5% for CV were defined as indicative of high glycemic variability.

Overall, patients with SD \geq 44.7 mg/dL and CV \geq 27.5% were more frequently men and older than those with SD < 44.7 mg/dL and CV < 27.5% (p values = 0.054 and < 0.001). Patients with higher glycemic variability were more likely to present with previous comorbidities (hypertension [p < 0.001], DM [p < 0.001], cardiovascular disease [p < 0.001], chronic kidney disease [p = 0.05] dyslipidemia [p < 0.001], and pulmonary disease [p=0.038]) than those without comorbidities. Patients with $SD \ge 44.7$ mg/dL and CV≥27.5% had higher median serum creatinine level at admission (0.93 mg/dL, IQR 0.76-1.19 mg/dL and 0.93 mg/dL, IQR 0.77-1.18 mg/dL), respectively) than those with SD < 44.7 mg/dL and CV < 27.5% (0.89 mg/dL, IQR 0.74 - 1.08 mg/dL, p = 0.001 when compared with SD \geq 44.7 mg/dL and 0.89 mg/dL, IQR 0.7– 1.6 mg/dL, p = 0.001 when compared with $CV \ge 27.5\%$, respectively). The number of lymphocytes and platelets, CRP levels, and N/L values did not differ significantly between the groups. Mortality was significantly higher in the groups with an SD \geq 44.7 mg/dL (p < 0.001) and $CV \ge 27.5\%$ (p < 0.001) (Table 2).

Data are presented as mean \pm SD, number (%), and median (IQR)

SD: standard deviation; CV: coefficient of variation; n: number; IQR: interquartile range; CRP: C-reactive protein; WBC: white blood cell; ICU: intensive care unit. Obesity*: data for the calculation of body mass index were not available for all patients. Dexamethasone**: Inhospital dexamethasone administration

In the unadjusted models, the odds of mortality were higher in patients with SD \geq 44.7 mg/dL (OR 3.22, 95% CI 2.15–4.87) and CV \geq 27.5% (OR 3.02, 95% CI 2–4.57) than in those with SD < 44.7 mg/dL and CV < 27.5%. The risk of mortality remained high in patients with SD \geq 44.7 mg/dL and CV \geq 27.5% after adjusting for age, sex, and the presence of comorbidities (hypertension, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, obesity, cerebrovascular disease, chronic kidney injury, pulmonary disease and dyslipidemia) and use versus no use of corticosteroids during hospitalization (Fig. 2).

The cohort was also stratified according to the absence (n=422) or presence (n=206) of DM. Patients with DM were older (p < 0.001) and more likely to present with chronic conditions (hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and dyslipidemia; p < 0.001) than those without DM (Table 3). The mortality rate was higher with SD \geq 44.7 mg/dL and CV \geq 27.5% (compared with SD < 44.7 mg/dL

and CV < 27.5%) both in the presence and absence of DM (p < 0.001 for all comparisons) (Table 3).

Most patients were treated with dexamethasone during hospitalization, which could have contributed to the high glycemic variability in patients with and without DM. Both the SD (p < 0.001) and CV (p < 0.001) were significantly higher in this population (Table 4).

Discussion

Our results demonstrated that glycemic variability, assessed as glucose $SD \ge 44.7 \text{ mg/dL}$ or $CV \ge 27.5\%$ during hospitalization, was associated with substantially increased odds of mortality among patients with COVID-19 with or without DM. This association persisted even after adjustments for age and the presence of cardiovascular disease and DM. Glucose POCT data were collected for 2–10 days, depending on the patients' length of hospital stay. We found that high glycemic variability influenced the risk of mortality in patients hospitalized for COVID-19.

Mehta et al. concluded that there is no association between serum glucose at admission and outpatient and inpatient control with mortality in COVID-19, but the prevalence of this viral disease in the studied region was very low; therefore, these results cannot be considered for regions with a higher prevalence of COVID-19 [2]. Another retrospective study with only 77 patients demonstrated that an uncontrolled glycemic control (HbA1c \geq 6.5%) before admission was an independent risk factor associated with in-hospital death in patients with COVID-19 [10]. The association between hyper and hypoglycemia and poor outcomes in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 was demonstrated in a multicenter retrospective study [11]. Atamna et al. demonstrated that increased GV was associated with an increased risk of bacteremia and mortality in patients hospitalized with acute infectious disease, with or without previous DM [5]. Although it is still unclear whether the severity of COVID-19 is influenced by glycemic control, or if glycemic variability can predict mortality and poor outcomes in hospitalized patients, the findings of our study suggest that the latter is likely to occur. This result build on those from retrospective studies that focused on glycemic control and clinical outcomes in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Previous studies have demonstrated that DM and hyperglycemia could lead to susceptibility and complications of other infectious diseases caused by H1N1, SARS-COV-1, and Middle East respiratory syndrome corona virus [12, 13]. Currently, DM is considered a risk factor for COVID-19 complications and death [14, 15], probably because it leads to oxidative stress and severe glycation with overproduction of advanced glycation end products, and hyperglycemia is reported to increase the

Table 1 General characteristics of the study population (n = 628)

Characteristics	Values		
Age (mean ± SD)	59.62±15.899		
Male gender	413 (65.8%)		
Comorbidities			
Hypertension	328 (52.2%)		
Diabetes mellitus	206 (32.8%)		
Cardiovascular disease	85 (13.5%)		
Cerebrovascular disease	21 (3.34%)		
Chronic kidney disease	24 (3.8%)		
Malignancy	27 (4.3%)		
Dyslipidemia	174 (27.7%)		
Obesity*	200 (n=625) (32%)		
Pulmonary disease	55 (8.8%)		
Dexamethasone**	586 (93.3%)		
Laboratory findings (median/IQR)			
WBC count (× 10 ³ cells/µL)	7.7 (5.7–10.6)		
Lymphocytes (/µL)	11 (7–16.75)		
Platelets (× 10 ³ cells/ μ L)	187.5 (147–238)		
CRP (mg/dL)	85.05 (40.25–153.88)		
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.91 (0.74-1.1)		
Neutrophils-lymphocytes ratio	7.5 (4.5–12.9)		
Hypoglycemia	59 (9.4%)		
ICU admission	374 (59.6%)		
Mechanical ventilation	229 (36.5%)		
Acute renal failure	67 (10.7%)		
Length of stay (median, IQR)	10 (6–18.8)		
Mortality	131 (20.9%)		

	SD<44.7	SD≥44.7	р	CV < 27.5	CV≥27.5	р
Patients—n (%)	357 (56.84%)	271 (43.15%)		318 (50.63%)	310 (49.36%)	
Age (years)—(mean±SD)	56.15 ±16.41	64.18±13.97	< 0.001	55.5±15.9	63.79±14.76	< 0.001
Male gender—n (%)	238 (66.7%)	175 (64.6%)	0.584	211 (66.4%)	202 (65.2%)	0.753
Comorbidities						
Hypertension	158 (44.3%)	170 (62.7%)	< 0.001	141 (44.3%)	187 (60.3%)	< 0.001
Diabetes mellitus	53 (14.8%)	153 (56.5%)	< 0.001	52 (16.4%)	154 (49.7%)	< 0.001
Cardiovascular disease	29 (8.1%)	56 (20.7%)	< 0.001	26 (8.2%)	59 (19%)	< 0.001
Cerebrovascular disease	8 (2.2%)	13 (4.8%)	0.078	6 (1.9%)	15 (4.8%)	0.04
Chronic kidney disease	9 (2.5%)	15 (5.5%)	0.051	4 (2.5%)	20 (6.5%)	0.01
Malignancy	20 (5.6%)	7 (2.6%)	0.065	16 (5%)	11 (3.5%)	0.36
Dyslipidemia	75 (21%)	99 (36.5%)	< 0.001	65 (20.4%)	109 (35.2%)	< 0.001
Obesity*	116 (32.6%)	84 (31.2%)	0.719	104 (32.8%)	96 (31.2%)	0.661
Pulmonary disease	24 (6.7%)	31 (11.4%)	0.038	20 (6.3%)	35 (11.3%)	0.027
Dexamethasone**	324 (90.8%)	262 (96.7%)	0.03	288 (90.6%)	298 (96.1%)	0.05
Laboratory findings (median/IQR)						
WBC count (× 10 ³ cells/µL)	7.4 (5.6–10.2)	7.7 (5.8–11)	0.213	7.4 (5.8–10.3)	7.6 (5.8–10.7)	0.342
Lymphocytes (/µL)	11 (7–17.5)	11 (1–16)	0.076	11 (7–17)	11 (7–16)	0.091
Platelets (× 10 ³ cells/ μ L)	191 (149–239)	182 (142–235)	0.348	194 (152.8–240)	179.5 (139.8–234)	0.143
CRP (mg/dL)	11.7 (37.9–146)	114.7 (46.8–159.5)	0.211	91.35 (39.1–150.8)	81.5 (41.4–156.1)	0.917
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.89 (0.74–1.08)	0.93 (0.76–1.19)	< 0.001	0.89 (0.7-1.06)	0.93 (0.77–1.18)	< 0.001
Neutrophils-lymphocytes ratio	7.2 (4.4–12.7)	7.7 (4.7–12.9)	0.46	7.5 (4.4–12.7)	7.55 (4.5–12.9)	0.512
Capillary glucose levels (mean±SD) (mg/dL)	125.7 (±16.6)	195.5 (±47.2)	< 0.001	130.8 (±31.1)	181.4 (±49)	< 0.001
Hypoglycemia	21 (5.9%)	38 (14%)	< 0.001	13 (4.1%)	46 (14.8%)	0.01
ICU admission	191 (53.5%)	183 (67.5%)	< 0.001	171 (53.8%)	203 (65.5%)	0.003
Mechanical ventilation	93 (26.1%)	136 (50.2%)	< 0.001	89 (28%)	140 (45.2%)	< 0.001
Acute renal failure	30 (8.4%)	37 (13.7%)	0.035	28 (8.8%)	39 (12.6%)	0.125
Length of hospital stay (median, IQR)	9 (6–16)	12 (7–24)	< 0.001	9 (5–17)	12 (7–22)	< 0.001
Mortality	45 (12.6%)	86 (31.7%)	< 0.001	39 (12.3%)	92 (29.7%)	< 0.001

Table 2 Characteristics of the study population stratified by glycemic variability according to standard deviation and coefficient of variation values

Data are presented as mean \pm SD, number (%), and median (IQR)

SD: standard deviation; CV: coefficient of variation; n: number; IQR: interquartile range; CRP: C-reactive protein; WBC: white blood cell; ICU: intensive care unit. Obesity*: data for the calculation of body mass index were not available for all patients. Dexamethasone**: Inhospital dexamethasone administration



Fig. 2 Forest plot of the multivariate association of mortality in patients hospitalized with COVID-19, adjusted for glucose standard deviation and coefficient of variation, sex, age, comorbidities, and use of dexamethasone in hospital. SD: glucose standard deviation; CV: glucose coefficient of variation; OR: odds ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; HTN: hypertension; DM: diabetes mellitus; CVD: cardiovascular disease; CbVD: Cerebrovascular disease; CBI: chronic renal injury; DLP: dyslipidemia; malign: malignancy; OB: obesity; PD: pulmonary disease; Dex: use of dexamethasone in hospital

	Entire cohort (n)	Patients without DM	Patients with DM	p values
Patients—n (%)	628 (100%)	422 (67.2%)	206 (32.8%)	N/A
Age (years)—mean (±SD)	59.62±15.9	57.11±16.23	64.75±13.88	< 0.001
Male sex (%)	413 (65.8%)	238 (66.7%)	175 (64.6%)	0.532
Comorbidities				
Hypertension	328 (52.2%)	187 (44.3%)	141 (68.4%)	< 0.001
Cardiovascular disease	85 (13.5%)	40 (9.5%)	45 (21.8%)	< 0.001
Cerebrovascular disease	21 (3.34%)	11 (2.6%)	10 (4.9%)	0.159
Chronic kidney disease	24 (3.8%)	12 (2.8%)	12 (5.8%)	0.078
Malignancy	27 (4.3%)	19 (4.5%)	8 (3.9%)	0.836
Dyslipidemia	174 (27.7%)	82 (19.4%)	92 (44.7%)	< 0.001
Obesity*	200 (n=625) (32%)	136 (32%)	65 (31.6%)	1
Pulmonary disease	55 (8.8%)	40 (9.5%)	15 (7.3%)	0.452
Outpatient insulin use	18 (2.9%)	0	18 (8.7%)	N/A
Dexamethasone use**	586 (93.3%)	397 (94.1%)	189 (91.7%)	0.308
Outcome				
Hypoglycemia	59 (9.4%)	30 (7.1%)	29 (14.1%)	0.008
Mortality	131 (20.9%)	82 (19.4%)	49 (23.8%)	0.211

Table 3 Characteristics of the study population stratified by the presence or absence of previous diabetes mellitus (DM)

Data are presented as mean $\pm\,$ SD, number (%), and median (IQR)

DM: diabetes mellitus; n: number. Obesity*: data for the calculation of body mass index were not available for all patients. Dexamethasone**: Inhospital dexamethasone administration

	SD < 44.7 mg/dL		SD≥44.7 mg/dL	p values	
	Without DM	With DM	Without DM	With DM	
	n=266	n=52	n=156	n=154	
Mortality (n = 131)	41 (13.5%)	4 (7.5%)	41 (34.7%)	45 (29.4%)	< 0.001
Mortality*			38 (33%)	43 (29.3%)	0.59
	CV<27.5%		CV≥27.5%		
	Without DM	With DM	Without DM	With DM	
	n=304	n=53	n=118	n=153	
Mortality (n = 131)	35 (13.2%)	4 (7.7%)	47 (30.1%)	45 (29.2%)	< 0.001
Mortality*			43 (28.3%)	43 (29.5%)	0.9

Data are expressed as n (%).SD: standard deviation; CV: coefficient of variation. diabetes mellitus (DM)

The comparison was made between the groups SD < 44.7 mg/dL and SD \geq 44.7 mg/dL and CV < 27.5% and CV \geq 27.5%. These groups were divided into patients with and without DM, to better illustrate the outcome

* Mortality = mortality in patients with SD \geq 44.7 mg/dL or CV \geq 27.5% in whom dexamethasone was administered during hospitalization

secretion of tumor necrosis factor alpha and interleukin 10, which could exacerbate inflammation [14-16].

Bhatti et al. and Singh et al. showed that hyperglycemia at admission or during hospitalization predicts poor outcomes and mortality in patients hospitalized with COVID-19 [3, 17]. The mechanisms explaining this occurrence involve functional impairment of T cells, which plays an important role in the resolution of viral infections. Hyperglycemia increases the intracellular concentration of glucose and mitochondrial proton gradients and releases reactive oxygen species (ROS) [18]. This causes structural modification of T cell receptor proteins and reduces the immune response of T cells via CD3 signaling [18]. High glycemic variability can boost oxidative stress, which can lead to a deficient T cell response, intensifying the severe manifestations of COVID-19 and increasing mortality [16].

In this study, SD and CV were used as the gold-standard metrics to determine glycemic variability, both of which are simple and accurate methods for this assessment [19-21]. Previous studies have demonstrated the impact of glucose variability in hospitalized patients. Singh et al. in a prospective study showed that high glycemic variability is associated with increased mortality among critically ill patients [22]. A retrospective study has demonstrated that in patients hospitalized with other types of acute infections, high glycemic variability was associated with mortality in the ICU and non-ICU settings [5]. Hartmann et al. in a retrospective study, has demonstrated that high variability of fasting plasma glucose was associated with an increased risk of mortality in severely ill COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome [23]. Our study, which focused on patients hospitalized with COVID-19, corroborates these findings. A particularly notable finding was the effect of glycemic variability on mortality risk among patients without DM. Morse et al. reported comparable results in a retrospective study of patients with COVID-19; the authors observed higher mortality in patients with one or more high glucose measurements than in those with all glucose measurements within the normal range [24]. The authors concluded that hyperglycemia was an independent risk factor for mortality in this population. The NICE-SUGAR study, a large, international, randomized trial, demonstrated a 2.6% increase in mortality among critically ill patients receiving intensive insulin therapy (aimed at glucose levels between 81 and 108 mg/ dL) compared with those receiving conventional insulin therapies. The increased mortality observed has been attributed to an increased risk of hypoglycemia [25]. These results suggest that the most important factor for mortality risk is not a single glucose measurement but a patient's glycemic variability.

Current guidelines recommend controlling glucose levels in all hospitalized patients [26, 27]. However, some hospitals often adopt this recommendation only for patients with DM or those who are critically ill. The findings of our study confirm that blood glucose levels must be monitored in all patients with COVID-19 regardless of the presence of comorbidities. We observed that values of SD \geq 44.7 mg/dL or CV \geq 27.5% during hospital stay emerged as predictors of mortality, independent of the patients' age or presence of DM and cardiovascular disease. We demonstrated that glycemic variability, not the presence of DM itself, was the main cause of increased mortality risk in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. This may have occurred because patients with DM are more likely to have hyperglycemia and may better endure the deleterious effects of acute hyperglycemia during critical illness, having different biological and/

or clinical implications [24, 28, 29]. Another important factor is performance bias, in which patients with DM receive more attention from the medical team in terms of glycemic control to avoid hyperglycemia or hypoglycemia during insulin treatment [29].

Many of our patients were treated with dexamethasone during hospitalization, which could have contributed to the high glycemic variability in patients with and without DM. However, in this study, the association of the influence of dexamethasone treatment on mortality was unclear, and the number of patients who did not use dexamethasone was too low to allow for the presence or absence of this association. Lesniak et al. reported a similar finding, in which the use of corticosteroids in their study worsened glycemic variability by inducing hyperglycemia and insulin resistance [30]. The Randomized Evaluation of COVID-19 Therapy (RECOVERY) trial showed that treatment with dexamethasone reduces mortality in inpatients with COVID-19 requiring oxygen therapy and mechanical ventilation [30, 31]. Lim et al. concluded that dexamethasone had a protective effect in their study population, despite increasing glycemic variability [31].

This study has some limitations. First, it was conducted at a single center (a private hospital in the city of Curitiba); thus, our patient population may be most selected in relation to those assisted in other hospitals. Second, patients with a history of hyperglycemia or hypoglycemia have a greater chance of having their capillary glucose measured more often; thus, the probability of detecting increasing glycemic variability in these patients was higher. Important strengths of our study include: first, it is real-world evidence data with a prospective design; second, data collection was monitored in real time by the authors; third, all patients were included consecutively; fourth, measurements were taken in all patients with glucose levels at least three times daily by POCT using bedside glucometers, supporting an accurate estimation of glycemic variability; and fifth, we performed the evaluation of glycemic variability using two different simple metrics (SD and CV).

Conclusions

Glucose monitoring is recommended in all patients hospitalized with COVID-19, including those without DM. We found that glycemic variability was indicative of poor prognosis in patients hospitalized with COVID-19.

Glycemic variability associated independently with mortality in patients with and without DM who were hospitalized with COVID-19, early intervention to improve glucose control could improve patient outcomes.

Abbreviations

Coronavirus disease 2019
Confidence intervals
Chronic respiratory diseases
C-reactive protein
Coefficient of variation
Cardiovascular disease
Diabetes mellitus
Glucose variability
Intensive care unit
Interquartile range
Odds ratio
Number
Point-of-care capillary glucose tests
Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2
Standard deviation
White blood cell

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

SP: study design, acquisition of data, statistical analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. MO, TM, CB statistical analysis. RS, MC acquisition of data, organization and interpretation of data. MY, MG, HD, VM, acquisition and organization of data. LN, LC, IS, JJ, DB, GM acquisition of hospital data. CB critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content and study supervision. All authors had access to the data outputs. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was financially supported by Regional Development Bank of the Extreme South (BRDE). However, it is important to note that this funding organization has no influence on the design, data analysis, or composition of this article.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Marcelino Champagnat hospital's research ethics committee (Center for Teaching, Research, and Innovation [CEPI]) on March 20, 2020, and was registered at Plataforma Brasil (CAAE 30188020.7.1001.0020). All patients or their legally authorized representatives signed consent forms before the start of the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

I declare that the authors have no competing interests as defined by BMC, or other interests that might be perceived to influence the results and/or discussion reported in this paper.

Received: 24 May 2023 Accepted: 28 August 2023 Published online: 11 September 2023

References

 Rastad H, Karim H, Ejtahed HS, Tajbakhsh R, Noorisepehr M, Babaei M, et al. Risk and predictors of in-hospital mortality from COVID-19 in patients with diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Diabetol Metab Syndr. 2020;12(1):1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13098-020-00565-9.

- Mehta PB, Kohn MA, Koliwad SK, Rushakoff RJ. Lack of association between either outpatient or inpatient glycemic control and COVID-19 illness severity or mortality in patients with diabetes. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care. 2021;9(1): e002203.
- Bhatti JM, Raza SA, Shahid MO, Akhtar A, Ahmed T, Das B. Association between glycemic control and the outcome in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Endocrine. 2022;77(2):213–20.
- Marshall RJ, Armart P, Hulme KD, Chew KY, Brown AC, Hansbro PM, et al. Glycemic variability in diabetes increases the severity of influenza. MBio. 2020;11(2):1–15. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02841-19.
- Atamna A, Ayada G, Akirov A, Shochat T, Bishara J, Elis A. High blood glucose variability is associated with bacteremia and mortality in patients hospitalized with acute infection. QJM. 2019;112(2):101–6.
- Akirov A, Diker-Cohen T, Masri-Iraqi H, Shimon I. High glucose variability increases mortality risk in hospitalized patients. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102(7):2230–41.
- Monnier L, Mas E, Ginet C, Michel F, Villon L, Cristol JP, et al. Activation of oxidative stress by acute glucose fluctuations compared with sustained chronic hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. JAMA. 2006;295(14):1681–7.
- Suh S, Kim JH. Glycemic variability: how do we measure it and why is it important ? Diabetes Metab J. 2015;39(4):273–82.
- Rodbard D. Hypo- and hyperglycemia in relation to the mean, standard deviation, coefficient of variation, and nature of the glucose distribution. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2012;14(10):868–76.
- Liu L, Wei W, Yang K, Li S, Yu X, Dong C, et al. Glycemic control before admission is an important determinant of prognosis in patients with coronavirus disease 2019. J Diabetes Investig. 2021;12(6):1064–73.
- Klonoff DC, Messler JC, Umpierrez GE, Peng L, Booth R, Crowe J, et al. Association between achieving inpatient glycemic control and clinical outcomes in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a multicenter, retrospective hospital-based analysis. Diabetes Care. 2021;44(2):578–85.
- Yang JK, Feng Y, Yuan MY, Yuan SY, Fu HJ, Wu BY, et al. Plasma glucose levels and diabetes are independent predictors for mortality and morbidity in patients with SARS. Diabet Med. 2006;23(6):623–8.
- Badawi A, Ryoo SG. Prevalence of comorbidities in the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV): a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Infect Dis. 2016;49:129–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. iijid.2016.06.015.
- Zhou Y, Chi J, Lv W, Wang Y. Obesity and diabetes as high-risk factors for severe coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19). Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2020;37(2): e3377.
- Yan Y, Yang Y, Wang F, Ren H, Zhang S, Shi X, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with severe covid-19 with diabetes. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care. 2020;8(1): e001343.
- Lim S, Bae JH, Kwon HS, Nauck MA. COVID-19 and diabetes mellitus: from pathophysiology to clinical management. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2020;17(1):11–30. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-020-00435-4.
- 17. Singh AK, Singh R. Does poor glucose control increase the severity and mortality in patients with diabetes and COVID-19? Diabetes Metab Synd Clin Res Rev. 2020;14(5):725–7.
- Tong ZWM, Grant E, Gras S, Wu M, Smith C, Barrett HL, et al. The role of T-cell immunity in COVID-19 severity amongst people living with type II diabetes. FEBS J. 2021;288(17):5042–54.
- 19. Rodbard D. Clinical interpretation of indices of quality of glycemic control and glycemic variability. Postgrad Med. 2011;123(4):107–18.
- Monnier L, Colette C, Wojtusciszyn A, Dejager S, Renard E, Molinari N, et al. Toward defining the threshold between low and high glucose variability in diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2017;40(7):832–8.
- 21. Hirsch IB. Glycemic variability: it's not just about A1C anymore! Diabetes Technol Ther. 2005;7(5):780–3.
- Singh M, Upreti V, Singh Y, Kannapur AS, Nakra M, Kotwal N. Effect of glycemic variability on mortality in ICU settings: a prospective observational study. Indian J Endocrinol Metab. 2018;22(5):632–5.
- 23. Hartmann B, Verket M, Balfanz P, Hartmann NU, Jacobsen M, Brandts J, et al. Glycaemic variability is associated with all-cause mortality in COVID-19 patients with ARDS, a retrospective subcohort study. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-13816-8.
- 24. Morse J, Gay W, Korwek KM, McLean LE, Poland RE, Guy J, et al. Hyperglycaemia increases mortality risk in non-diabetic patients with COVID-19

even more than in diabetic patients. Endocrinol Diabetes Metab. 2021;4(4):1–6.

- The NICE-SUGAR Study Investigators, Finfer S, Chittock DR, Su SY, Blair D, Foster DV, et al. Intensive versus conventional glucose control in critically ill patients. N Engl J Med. 2009;360(13):1283–97. https://doi.org/10.1056/ nejmoa0810625.
- Korytkowski MT, Muniyappa R, Antinori-Lent K, Donihi AC, Drincic AT, Hirsch IB, et al. Management of hyperglycemia in hospitalized adult patients in non-critical care settings: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022;107(8):2101–28.
- American Diabetes Association ADA. 15 Diabetes Care in the Hospital: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2020. Diabetes Care. 2020;43(Sup plement_1):S193-202.
- Egi M, Bellomo R, Stachowski E, French CJ, Hart GK, Hegarty C, et al. Blood glucose concentration and outcome of critical illness: the impact of diabetes. Crit Care Med. 2008;36(8):2249–55.
- Rady MY, Johnson DJ, Patel BM, Larson JS, Helmers RA. Influence of individual characteristics on outcome of glycemic control in intensive care unit patients with or without diabetes mellitus. Mayo Clin Proc. 2005;80(12):1558–67.
- Lesniak C, Ong R, Akula MS, Douedi S, Akoluk A, Soomro R, et al. Inpatient glycemic control and outcome of COVID-19 patients: a retrospective cohort. SAGE Open Med. 2021;9:2050312112110391.
- Lim WS, Emberson JR, Mafham M, Bell JL, Linsell L, Brightling C, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(8):693–704.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

